

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/722,645		PLUNK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Adam C. Rehm		2875	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Adam C. Rehm.

(2) Tom Sember.

**Date of Interview:** 3/3/2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 8 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

WEATHERS (US 6,210,019)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Clarify Claim 8 to specify that a ballast is attached to said projecting bottom surface. Further search required.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) Steve Witters.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:00pm

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)